

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CRM-M-6697-2022

Date of decision:- 18.02.2022

Udai Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Onkar Singh Batalvi, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.25 dated 09.03.2020 registered for offences under Sections 120-B, 384 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, Sections 4 and 10 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 67-A of Information Technology Act, 2000 (Sections 323 and 452, IPC, Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000, Section 14 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and Sections 3(1) (w) (i) (ii) and 3 (2) (va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, were added later on), at Police Station Women, Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom as well as the impugned summoning order dated 24.12.2021 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (Fast Track Special Court), Kurukshetra (Annexure P-2).

At the outset, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail the remedy available to him in accordance with law as according to the counsel, a revision petition is maintainable against impugned order (Annexure P-2) and not a petition under Section 482, Cr.P.C.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

18.02.2022

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

Kamal

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No