

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CRM-M-6722-2022

Date of Decision : February 22, 2022

Amzad and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that though the petitioners have nothing to do with FIR No.55 dated 29.01.2022 but the police is raiding the premises of the petitioners unnecessarily so as to harass them. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that without following the due procedure envisaged in the Cr.P.C, the residence of the petitioners is being raided time and again so as to harass them, which harassment should be prevented by this Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that FIR No.55 dated 29.01.2022 is being investigated in a manner required for and he has instructions to submit before this Court that in case, the assistance of the petitioners is required in any manner with regard to the investigation of the said FIR, appropriate procedure as envisaged in the Cr.P.C will be followed.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State further submits that keeping in view the said statement, the petitioners do not wish to press this petition any further but the liberty be given to avail appropriate remedy, in case the petitioners are aggrieved in any manner of any action of the State while investigating the FIR concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

February 22, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No