

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6164-2022

Date of Decision: 24.05.2022

Jaspreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Ms. Shweta Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG Punjab.

VIVEK PURI J.

On 14.02.2022, following order was passed:

“By this petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 245 dated 27.11.2021 under Sections 498-A/120-B and 506 registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the brother-in-law of the husband of the complainant. The petitioner alongwith his wife are residing separate from the family of the husband of the complainant. The complainant was earlier married with Amandeep Singh and during the subsistence of the marriage, she had solemnized the marriage with Amrik Singh. Amandeep Singh, the earlier husband of the complainant, had already instituted a petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act for restitution of conjugal rights. Though, there are allegations in the FIR with regard to commission of offence under Section 354 IPC, but the same has not been added in FIR. The wife of the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchinak Sabherwal, AAG Punjab who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Adjourned to 10.05.2022.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure."

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the interim direrctions, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On the instructions of SI Amandeep Kaur, learned State Counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and further contended that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, order dated 14.02.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute. Petition is allowed.

24.05.2022

Ajay Goswami

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No