

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5844-2022

Date of Decision: February 10, 2022

Sunita Rani and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through video conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to respondents not to harass/threat the petitioners by police officials belonging to Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot under the garb of FIR No.21, dated 02.02.2022, under Section 376-D IPC and under Section 6 of POCSO Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Kotkapura, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if their representation, dated 07.02.2022, Annexure P-2, is decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Rakeshinder Singh Sidhu, AAG,

Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

Heard.

In view of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3, i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, to look into the representation, dated 07.02.2022, Annexure P-2 and decide the same in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within one month from today.

February 10, 2022

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No