

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10583-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.07.2022

Jaspreet Singh @ Lady

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Divij Datt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this 3rd petition is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.120 dated 01.11.2020 under Sections 399, 402 IPC, Section 25 of Arms Act and Sections 21/25/29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Bhadaur, District Barnala; earlier two petitions were dismissed as withdrawn on 29.07.2021 and 09.11.2021.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 29.07.2021 passed in CRM-M-22139-2021 and other connected cases, vide which co-accused Nirmal Singh, Satwinder Singh @ Chatta, Gurkirat Singh @ Jassi, Kuldeep Singh @ Deepu and Balwinder Singh @ Jassi were granted the concession of regular bail, by passing the following order: -

“...In order to avoid any discrepancy in the prosecution version, the facts as noticed in the order dated 15.12.2020, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Barnala, are reproduced as below:-

“As per the prosecution version, on 1.11.2020 Inspector Baljit Singh was present at T point, Bhadaur where he received a secret information against the accused persons namely Harmit Singh, Balwinder Singh, Jagsir Singh, Ravinder Kumar, Gajedar Saran and Amra Ram @ Mukesh have formed a gang and they used to loot petrol pump, mobiles and vehicles on the pointing of illegal weapons. On the basis of this secret information, FIR was registered against the above named accused persons and further investigation was ensued and they were arrested on the same day. From the possession of accused Harmit Singh one 32 bore pistol along with 05 cartridges were recovered which were taken into possession by the police. From the possession of accused Jagdish Singh, one pistol of 315 bore alongwith one cartridge of the same bore were also recovered which were also taken into police possession. Search of accused Ravinder Kumar led to the recovery of one 315 bore pistol and 01 cartridge of the same bore which were also taken into police vide separate recovery memo. On the search of accused Gajedar Saran and accused Amra Ram, 500 grams of intoxicant powder and 25 cartridges were recovered from each of them and as such addition of offence u/s 21, 25/29 of the NDPS Act was made vide DDR no.23 dated 1.11.2020. During further interrogation of accused Amra Ram, recovery of one pistol of 32 bore, 5 cartridges and one Baleno car was effected. From the possession of accused Harmit Singh, Balwinder Singh and Jagdish Singh motor cycle bearing no.PB 05Y 1836 and from the possession of accused Ravinder Kumar, Gajedar

Saran and Amra Ram motor cycle No.PB-19-P-2482 were also recovered. During the interrogation of accused persons namely Ravinder Kumar, Gajedar Saran, Jagdish Singh and Harmit Singh, accused/applicant Kamal Kumar was nominated in this case on 4.11.2020 and he was arrested on 5.11.2020 and 06 live cartridges were recovered from him. From the possession of accused/applicants Gurkirat Singh, Satwinder Singh one motor cycle no.PB-19-E-3908, whereas from the possession of accused/applicants Kamal Kumar and Gurdit Singh one scooty without number plate were also recovered. Then on 8.11.2020 accused/applicant Manga Singh was also nominated in this case and from his possession 06 cartridges of 12 bore recovered and on 12.11.2020 one 32 bore pistol and 03 cartridges were also recovered from his possession. On 5.11.2020 accused Satwinder Singh was arrested in this case and from his possession 4 cartridges were recovered from his possession. Similarly from the possession of accused Gurdit Singh who was also arrested on 5.11.2020, similar number of cartridges to say four were also recovered. One 32 bore pistol and four cartridges were also recovered from the possession of accused Lovepreet Singh who was arrested in his case on 7.11.2020. From the possession of accused Karanvir Singh, who was arrested on 8.11.2020 10 cartridges of 12 bore were recovered and on 11.11.2020 from his possession 260 grams of intoxicant powder and one pistol of 32 bore along with 3 cartridges were recovered. From the possession of accused Jaspreet Singh one 32 bore pistol along with 3 live cartridges were recovered when he was arrested on 8.11.2020. Likewise on 8.11.2020 from the possession of accused Amanpreet Singh four cartridges of 12 bore were also recovered. From the possession of

accused Amritpal Singh one pistol of 32 bore and 2 cartridges were recovered and from the possession of accused Kuldeep Singh, who was arrested on 1.12.2020, 5 cartridges 7.65 were recovered from his possession on 4.12.2020 whereas no recovery of any incriminating material was effected from accused Balwinder Singh and Lovejot Singh, Devinderpal Singh and Nirmal Singh who were arrested on 1.11.2020, 10.11.2020, 25.11.2020 and 28.11.2020 respectively. Whereas accused Prem Parkash and Sajjan are still to be nabbed in this case and further investigation is going on.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner – Nirmal Singh, has argued that he was nominated in the case on the statement of one Jagraj Singh in whose present, the petitioner has made the alleged extra-judicial confession that Nirmal Singh on 27.11.2020, came to the house of this witness Jagraj Singh, being his co-villager and confessed that he used to go to Madhya Pradesh in order to bring arms and ammunition for the purpose of selling the same in the States of Punjab and Rajasthan. He met one Gajju, who is resident of Rajasthan and one Davinderpal resident of Punjab, who used to demand arms and ammunition from him and he would supply the same to them. Since Davinderpal stands arrested, Nirmal Singh apprehended that the police may also arrest him. Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that after the arrest of the petitioner, no recovery was effected from him and it will be a matter of trial whether the extra-judicial confession made before Jagraj Singh is admissible in evidence against the petitioner as the

same is not corroborated by any recovery. It is also argued that the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 28 days and he is not involved in any other case under Section 399 IPC. It is further submitted that though, the petitioner – Nirmal Singh is involved in 02 other cases, however, he is on bail in those cases.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner – Kuldeep Singh @ Deepu, has argued that he was also not named in the FIR and his name surfaced in the disclosure statement of the co-accused Jagdish Singh and Harmeet Singh wherein it is stated by the co-accused Harmeet Singh that he used to supply the weapons to the co-accused Manga Singh, through his accomplice i.e. the petitioner – Kuldeep Singh @ Deepu. It is also submitted that even with regard to the petitioner – Kuldeep Singh, the police recorded his extra-judicial confession before one Shamsher Singh, who stated in his statement under Section 161 Cr.P.C. that Kuldeep Singh came to his house and made a confession that he is in the business of bringing the illegal weapons after he came in contact with a person namely Gajju, who is resident of Rajasthan and he used to purchase arms and ammunition from him. It is also stated that the petitioner made the alleged extra-judicial confession on coming to know that 02 of the co-accused namely Gurkirat Singh and Satwinder Singh, have already been arrested. It is further argued that it will be a matter of trial whether the said statement of Shamsher Singh is admissible against the petitioner or not as

the alleged recovery from the petitioner – Kuldeep Singh i.e. 05 live cartridges, 7.65 bore is planted. Lastly, it is submitted that the petitioner – Kuldeep Singh is in custody for the last 07 months and he is not involved in any other case.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner – Balwinder Singh @ Jassi, has argued that the petitioner – Balwinder Singh @ Jassi, is in custody for the last 08 months and he is on bail in another case. It is further submitted that though the petitioner was named in the secret information, however, there is no allegation from where, he has acquired the illegal weapons. Lastly, it is argued that as per the police version, nothing was recovered from the petitioner and therefore, the secret information do not find any corroboration as no recovered was effected from him.

Counsel appearing for the petitioner – Jaspreet Singh @ Lady, at the very outset, seeks permission to withdraw the petition qua petitioner No.1 – Jaspreet Singh @ Lady as he is found involved in 13 other FIRs.

Ordered accordingly.

Counsel appearing for the petitioners – Gurkirat Singh @ Jassi and Satwinder Singh @ Chatta, has argued that they are the first offenders and are not involved in any other case and they are in custody for the last more than 08 months. It is further submitted that both the petitioners were not named in the secret information and they were nominated later on in the disclosure statement made

by the co-accused Gajedar Saran that he along with his accomplices namely Kamal Kumar and Gurdit Singh has delivered the weapons to the petitioners. Lastly, it is argued that from the possession of the petitioners, only one motorcycle was recovered and, therefore, the disclosure statement of the co-accused do not find any corroboration.

In reply, counsel for the State has stated that on the basis of the secret information, the FIR was registered against 06 persons and thereafter, the other persons were also nominated in the case and number of weapons and cartridges were recovered. Counsel for the State, however on the basis of the Custody Certificates, could not dispute the total custody of the petitioners as well as the fact that Shamsher Singh, Lovepreet Singh and the petitioners Balwinder Singh, Gurkirat Singh and Satwinder Singh are not involved in any other case. The recovery effected from the petitioners, as per the allegations in the FIR and the investigation, is also not disputed. It is further argued that challan stands presented, however, no prosecution witness has been examined so far...”

For the sake of brevity, facts are not reproduced again.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate in the Court today, according to which, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 07 months and 25 days.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting

anything on merits of the case and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Petition is disposed of.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

07.07.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No