

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

285

CRM-M-6959-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2022

PARWINDER SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Ms. Khushika Setia, Advocate for
Mr. SS Sarwara, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Khullar, AAG Punjab.

Mr. SanjayKhan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No.22 dated 24.03.2020, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Prevention of Human Smuggling Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Ghanaur, District Patiala on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 08.10.2020 (Annexure P-2).

On 19.02.2021, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have already paid Rs.3.00 lacs to the complainant and the remaining amount of Rs.2.00 lacs was to be paid at the time of recording of the statements before the Court below and on the said undertaking by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their respective statements with regard to the compromise.

As per the report dated 08.04.2021 of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura, though petitioner No.2 was granted interim bail vide order dated 29.09.2020, yet she has not joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the fact that petitioner No.2 has not joined the investigation, till date.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As noticed above, petitioner No.2 was granted interim protection way back on 29.09.2020 and since then, she has not bothered to comply with the directions passed by the Competent Court. Thus, no further indulgence can be granted to the parties, at this stage.

Dismissed.

22.11.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No