

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-6927-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision : **February 18, 2022**

NARINDER PAL SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Through Video Conferencing

Present : Ms. Shivya Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 3.2.2022 (Annexure P-4)

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the police have registered an FIR against the petitioners under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC but thereafter the matter was compromised and the compromise/settlement deed is Annexure P-2. However, the petitioner had also filed an anticipatory bail petition before this Court and in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 17.1.2022, the anticipatory bail was finally granted by this Court vide Annexure P-3. She has submitted that thereafter the concerned IO/SHO had been demanding an amount of Rs.1 lac from the petitioners so that he will not present the challan before the Court. She has further submitted that direction be issued to the concerned Commissioner of Police for deciding the

representation vide Annexure P-4. She has further submitted that there is an imminent threat to the life and liberty to the petitioners.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

At the outset, a perusal of the paper-book would show that there is nothing to show as to whether the petitioners have given representation Annexure P-4 to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana or not. There is no proof of any registered post, e-mail, diary number etc. available on the record. It is a settled law that before approaching this Court and seeking to invoke an extra ordinary power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for any grievance/direction of such like nature or any other cause, the petitioners are duty bound to atleast give a complaint/representation to the concerned SSP/Commissioner of Police through registered post or any other alternative suitable method in accordance with law but there is nothing to show that the petitioners have ever done the needful before filing the present petition. So far as the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners that there is threat to life and liberty, the same can also be brought to the notice of the concerned SSP/Commissioner of Police through suitable method before approaching this Court. Apart from the same, even a perusal of the petition as well as representation Annexure P-4 would show that the apprehension with regard to the threat of life and liberty of the petitioners appears to be vague.

Therefore, this Court is of the considered view that there is no ground made out in the present petition to entertain the same and to issue any kind of direction. In case the petitioners have any grievance or real threat from anybody, then they may approach the concerned police

authority at the Commissionerate level in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is, hereby,
dismissed.

February 18, 2022

ajay-1

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable.

:

Yes/No