

CRM-M-6063-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-18616-2022 in/and
CRM-M-6063-2022 (O & M)
Date of decision: 23.05.2022**

Saloni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A. G. Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-18616-2022

The present application has been filed for an early hearing of
the main petition, which is fixed for 13.07.2022.

Notice in the application.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary,
Addl. A. G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, and
submits that he has no objection, if the present application is allowed.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed and the
main case is preponed from 13.07.2022 to that of today and the same is
taken on the Board for hearing.

On the oral request of the learned counsel for the applicant-
petitioners, Annexures P-6 to P-8 are taken on record, subject to all just

CRM-M-6063-2022

exceptions.

CRM-M-6063-2022

Through this second petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.60 dated 17.01.2020, registered at Police Station Thanesar City, District Kurukshetra, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 and 506 IPC and Section 10 of the Immigration Act, 1983.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who is a lady, has been in custody since 28.06.2020; that no specific role has been attributed to her; that her husband is even confined in jail, that there is no male member in her family to look after her two daughters, and that the minor daughter of the petitioner is suffering from Diabetes Type-I. So far as other cases registered against the petitioner are concerned, she has been granted regular bail therein.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender; that so far as the present case is concerned, the husband of the petitioner and one Vishal had duped the complainant to the tune of Rs.15,50,000/- and out of the said amount, the complainant had already been paid Rs.10 lakh.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

There are no specific allegations against the petitioner in the FIR. She has been in custody since 28.06.2020. Out of 10 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. She has already been released on bail in other cases registered against her. Moreover, her husband is also confined in jail and her minor

CRM-M-6063-2022

daughter is suffering from Diabetes Type-I.

In such circumstances, this Court finds that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

23.05.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No