

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6135-2022

Date of decision:24.03.2022

RAM KUMAR DUHAN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. In FIR No.458 of 22.12.2021, registered at Police Station Sector-6, Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, offences constituted under Sections 406, 420, and, 506, of IPC are embodied.
2. The incriminatory role, as assigned to the bail applicant in the FIR (supra), is of his upon making a misleading compromise, to the complainant, causing the complainant to deliver a sum of Rs.4 lacs, to her, and, thereafter his refusing to return it to the complainant.
3. The learned State counsel, on instructions given to him, by SI Pritam submits, that the complainant has received a sum of Rs.4 lacs, as became delivered by one Santosh, under a false promise to the present bail petitioner. He also submits, on instructions meted to him by the investigating officer concerned, that since also a compromise has occurred amongst the complainant,

and, the present bail petitioner, thereupon, there may be a possibility of the investigating officer concerned, instituting a closure report in respect of offences carried in FIR (supra), before the learned Magistrate concerned.

4. Therefore, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to order for custodial interrogation of the bail petitioner.

5. Consequently, the petition is allowed, and, the order made by this Court, on 14.02.2022, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

24.03.2022

ithlesh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No