

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.202

CWP No.2724 of 2020

Date of Decision: 29.08.2022

Tulsi Dass

.....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam
Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner is covered by the decision rendered by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.5566 of 1999**, titled as **Prithvi Ram versus State of Haryana and others**, decided on 03.09.2001, which opinion has again been reiterated by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No.15679 of 2015** titled as **Bhagwan Dutt versus State of Haryana and others**, decided on 02.02.2017 and hence, the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms as in **Prithvi Ram's case (supra)**.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents very fairly submits that the question of law as raised in the present petition is covered by the decision in **Prithvi Ram's case (supra)**, as he has not been able to distinguish the same in any manner.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is also disposed of in the same terms as in ***Prithvi Ram's case (supra)***. The recovery order dated 30.05.2016 (Annexure P-8) passed against the petitioner is accordingly set aside. Any recovery done from the petitioner be refunded to him within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of a copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

29.08.2022
Maninder

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No