

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

CRM-M-5680-2022

Date of Decision: February 25, 2022

Vikash @ Vicky

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 10.02.2022 , this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from SI Ombir. He submits that the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioners then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 10.02.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

February 25, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: No