

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(109)

CRWP-1288-2022

Date of Decision : February 11, 2022

Bharat Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sonpreet S. Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to protect his life and liberty, which according to the petitioner, is under threat at the hands of respondents No. 6 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes the factum that the petitioner has a property dispute with respondents No. 6 to 9 and it is only due to the said dispute, the petitioner is being threatened by the private respondents and on 14.01.2022, the respondents threatened the petitioner in the presence of the police and the police has not done anything despite the fact that the petitioner has already raised a grievance in the said regard before respondent No.2.

On being asked as to why rather than approaching the District Police, the petitioner has filed a representation before respondent No.2, no justifiable answer to the said query of the Court, has been given by the learned

counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that liberty be given to the petitioner to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka by filing appropriate representation raising the said grievance.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, the same will be taken into consideration and appropriate orders will be passed on the same within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of with liberty to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka by filing appropriate representation raising the grievance, which the petitioner has.

Ordered accordingly.

It is made clear that in case, any representation is received before passing the order, all the parties, who are likely to be effected by the decision, be heard.

February 11, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No