

[146] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.207 of 2022

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Jogender ...Petitioner

versus

Rajesh Duggal, IPS, Superintendent of Police, PalwalRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 02.11.2021 in CRM-M No.45789 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of order dated 02.11.2021 reveals that CRM-M No.45789 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide representation dated 14.10.2021 in accordance with law within 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[4] On 10.02.2022, learned DAG, Haryana, while stating that order dated 07.01.2022 had been passed by the respondent in compliance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 02.11.2021 in CRM-M No.45789 of 2021 and representation of the petitioner had been rejected, had requested for a short adjournment to place the said order on record.

14.02.2022 and copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[6] None is present on behalf of the petitioner.

[7] Learned DAG states that in view of order dated 02.11.2021 in CRM-M No.45789 of 2021 having been complied and representation of the petitioner dated 14.10.2021 having been decided, the contempt petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[8] I have perused the record and considered the submissions of the learned DAG.

[9] Admittedly, order dated 07.01.2022 has been passed by the respondent in compliance of order dated 02.11.2021 in CRM-M No.45789 of 2021, and representation dated 14.10.2021 filed by the petitioner has been decided.

[10] Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while leaving it open to the petitioner to challenge order dated 07.01.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No