

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CRM-M-5799-2022

Date of Decision : February 10, 2022

Amanpreet Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed for the grant of interim bail to the petitioner for a period of 15 days in FIR No. 84 dated 25.03.2021 registered under Sections 379-B and 34 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 201 and 120-B of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamunanagar so as to attend the marriage function of his brother.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been involved in FIR No.84 dated 25.03.2021 registered under Sections 379-B and 34 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 201 and 120-B of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamunanagar and as the brother of the petitioner is getting married on 20.02.2022, the petitioner has approached the trial Court for the grant of interim bail and while passing the

order on the said plea, the trial Court vide order dated 02.02.2022, has extended the concession of interim bail only for a period of one day and that too under police custody.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will not jump the interim bail so as to flee from the trial proceedings and as the parents of the petitioner are old age and cannot help in arranging the functions required for the marriage of his brother, the petitioner may be granted at least five days interim bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in order to show the *bona fide* that the petitioner will not misuse the interim bail in any manner and will surrender after the expiry of the same, two acres land, which the father of the petitioner owns, be taken as a surety by the trial Court.

Keeping in view the above, the petitioner is extended the interim bail for a period of seven days starting from 15.02.2022 till 22.02.2022 to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned. Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is requested to ensure that the surety in the shape of land presented i.e. 2 acres of land being owned by the father of the petitioner, be accepted after ascertaining the genuineness of the same along with other conditions if any to be imposed, so as to ensure the petitioner surrenders back.

The present petition is allowed in above terms.

February 10, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No