250 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6198-2022**
**Date of decision: 03.08.2022**

**SHIVANI KHERA AND ORS.** **...PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.** **...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

****

**VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)**

Short reply by way of affidavit of Shubham Aggarwal, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, City Pathankot, District Pathankot on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 has been filed and the same is taken on record.

Petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents not to unnecessary and repeatedly harass petitioner No.1, who is the wife of respondent No.3 who is repeatedly being summoned in some complaints.

On instructions from ASI Narinder Singh, learned State counsel submits that some complaints were preferred by the private respondents. However, the dispute between the private parties was family/matrimonial dispute. All the complaints have been disposed of after an inquiry and as on today, no complaint is pending with the police authorities in District Pathankot.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioners as well as respondent Nos. 3 and 4. Even, same was the position on 31.03.2022 when the case was taken up for hearing.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

03.08.2022 **(VIVEK PURI)**
*renubala* **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

RENU BALA
2022.08.04 16:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document