

COCp-210-2022

Date of Decision : 23.03.2022

Krishan Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Anish Yadav

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Shelja Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 11.02.2021 in CWP No.3099 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 11.02.2021 reveals that CWP No.3099 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to take a decision on representations dated 11.11.2020 and 21.12.2020 filed by the petitioners within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Reply has been filed along with order, Annexure R/1 dated 16.03.2022 deciding representations dated 11.11.2020 and 21.12.2020 filed by the petitioners.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of decision, Annexure R/1 dated 16.03.2022 having been taken on representations dated 11.11.2020 and 21.12.2020 by the respondent, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2022
'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>