

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CR-9201-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:02.03.2022

Jai Parkash Narain and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Vishwanath and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Akshay Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

This revision petition has come up for hearing after a period of 5 years from the date of filing. The revision petition was filed in the year 2017, but the petitioners never made an attempt to get the matter listed.

On 26.02.2020, the learned counsel representing the petitioners did not attend the hearing. It is evident that the appeal filed by the petitioners was withdrawn on the statement of their counsel. The petitioners allege that the appeal was withdrawn without their consent by the counsel and an application filed by the petitioners for recall of the order was not entertained by the office.

The learned counsel representing the petitioners has failed to bring any material on the record to prove that the registry did not entertain the application.

The petitioners are required to file an application before the Court. The registry has no power to refuse to entertain any application.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the petitioners are relegated to the remedy before the appellate court.

Disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 02, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No