

[133] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.226 of 2022

Date of Decision : 22.03.2022

Surjit Singh Josan

...Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Singh, Senior Superintendent of Police,
Jalandhar Rural

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 10 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 06.12.2021 in CRWP No.11460 of 2021.

[3] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CRWP No.11460 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondent to examine the petitioner's representation dated 22.11.2021 and thereafter take appropriate steps as warranted in accordance with law within 03 weeks from the date of communication of the order.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the instant petition was filed on account of the failure of the respondent to do the needful.

(Annexure R-1) dated 17.01.2022, deciding the petitioner's representation dated 22.11.2021 and ordering maintenance of *status quo* by the parties on the constructions of sewerage, which had been done by the village Panchayat, while rejecting the representation *qua* claim for security. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that in view of decision on the petitioner's representation dated 22.11.2021, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order rejecting grant of security to the petitioner.

[6] I have considered the submission of learned counsel.

[7] Admittedly, order dated 17.01.2022 has been passed, deciding the petitioner's representation dated 22.11.2021.

[8] Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 17.01.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[9] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

22.03.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No