

COCp-212-2022

Date of Decision :10.02.2022

Sodhi Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Manpreet Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr.Satnam Singh Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.12.2021 in CWP-16479-2019

[3] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) dated 10.12.2021 reveals that CWP-16479-2019 was disposed of by directing the official respondents to consider legal notice dated 14.05.2019 as representation and decide the claim made therein in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case there was no impediment to the grant of relief, the same be granted to the petitioner without any delay thereafter, as per law.

months, no decision has been taken in the matter till date.

[5] Issue notice to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him.

[6] Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and on instructions from Sh. Vinod Kumar Gagat, Deputy Director, in the office of the respondent, states that the claim of the petitioner has been decided and amount found due to the petitioner as per his entitlement towards pensionary benefits would be released to him alongwith a copy of the order passed on his legal notice within one month from today.

[7] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such.

[8] In the light of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondent.

[9] Accordingly, the contempt petition is *disposed of*, as such while directing the respondent to ensure that needful is done in terms of statement by Sh. Vinod Kumar Gagat and copy of the order passed on the legal notice of the petitioner is supplied to the petitioner besides, payment of pensionary benefits as per entitlement worked out is paid to the petitioner within a period of one month from today.

[10] Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within

application for revival of the contempt petition in which eventuality, a serious view would be taken in the matter.

[11] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.02.2022
ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>