

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5679-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.05.2022**

Manjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Sheenam Kamboj, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-18019-2022

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for incorporating Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC, which was added later on by the prosecution.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that the interim bail was granted to the petitioner on 10.02.2022. Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC were not mentioned in the FIR and the same were added later on vide General Diary No. 18 dated 05.05.2022.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner further submits that non-mentioning of Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC in the head-note and prayer

clause of the petition was not intentional. She prays to add Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC, in the head note as well prayer clause of the main petition.

Notice of the application to respondent-State.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that he has no objection, if the aforesaid prayer is allowed.

Heard.

Keeping in view the above facts, the present application is allowed. Registry is directed to incorporate Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC in the head note as well as prayer clause of the main petition.

The interim protection granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.02.2022, shall also be in respect of Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC as well.

Main case:

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.82 dated 13.11.2021, registered at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur, under Section 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC.

Vide order dated 10.02.2022 passed by this Court, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 10.02.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Shailender Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 10.02.2022 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

17.05.2022
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No