

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6460-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 17.08.2022**

Raaj Aurora

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Naveen Kumar Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anmol Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.28 dated 31.01.2021, under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506, registered at Police Station Women Police Station, NIT Faridabad.

Vide order dated 24.02.2022 while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation and both the parties were directed to remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing. Today, the parties are present in person along with their counsel. On interaction with the parties and their respective counsels, it is transpired that finally both the parties are executing the terms and conditions of the agreement arrived at between them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that as per the settlement arrived at between the parties, the second motion petition for the divorce is to be filed in the Court at Delhi and he would file the same within the month of September, 2022. He also submits that the petitioner

has also joined investigation in accordance with the order passed by this Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also affirmed the fact that the parties have compromised the matter.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Kalpna, affirms the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation and no more required for further investigation.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel and taking into consideration the undertaking given by the parties, the interim order dated 24.02.2022 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. It is being made clear that in case either of the party disobey the terms and conditions of the agreement arrived at between them, the aggrieved party would be at liberty to revive this petition.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.08.2022
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No