

CWP-2613-2022

Date of Decision : 15.02.2022

Sulekha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has made a request for hearing of the case out of turn on account of non availability in the post lunch session.

2. Prayer in the instant petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari / Mandamus etc. directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to join at Madhuban as the order of his transfer from Madhuban to Panchkula has been cancelled.

3. Learned counsel contends that pursuant to the filing of the writ petition, the respondents have allowed the petitioner to join at Madhuban, therefore, grievance of the petitioner as was the subject matter of the writ petition stands redressed and that in the circumstances, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the writ petition and the same may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. The same is not objected to by the learned AAG.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

15.02.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No