

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.201

CRM-M-5603-2022

Date of Decision:30.03.2022

Seema Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CRM-M-4648-2022

Shimpo @ Supinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRM-M-5603-2022.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-4648-2022.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant in CRM-M-5603-2022.

Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the complainant in CRM-M-4648-2022.

SANT PARKASH, J.

This order shall dispose of both the above-mentioned petitions arising out of impugned FIR.

In compliance of the order dated 21.02.2022 passed in CRM-M-5603-2022, a reply by way of affidavit of Shri Vaibhav Sehgal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Jalalabad has been filed and the same is taken on record. In para 4 thereof, it is specifically stated that the petitioner-Shimpo @ Supinder Kaur has joined

the investigation as per the directions of this Court, however, a request has been made for custodial interrogation of the petitioner to investigate the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in CRM-M-4648-2022 would submit that in compliance of the last order dated 04.02.2022, the petitioner has handed over her mobile to the investigating agency for being examined at State Cyber Cell.

Even the two video clips, on the basis of which, the present FIR was registered, have been played in the Court wherein the deceased had blamed petitioner-Shimpo @ Supinder Kaur in the first video clip, whereas he had blamed both the petitioners in the second video clip.

Heard.

It is debatable as to whether ingredients of Section 306 IPC are made out or not in the matter and the same shall be decided during the course of trial.

Taking into consideration the totality of circumstances, the interim order dated 4.02.2022 in CRM-M-4648-2022 and order dated 21.02.2022 in CRM-M-5603-2022 are hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Both these petitions stand allowed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

30.03.2022
mks

(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO