

CWP-3188-2022

Date of Decision: 21.02.2022

Joginder

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. P.M. Kansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioner has served legal notice Annexure P/5 dated 28.01.2022 on respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the instant petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.3 only.

3. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. In the light of position noted above but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide legal notice Annexure P/5 dated 28.01.2022, in accordance with law, by taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within four weeks from

today.

5. Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

21.02.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No