

COCp-598-2020

Date of Decision :25.05.2022

Satnam Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Anirudh Tewari and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Shweta Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 09.09.2019, in CWP-24782-2019 in case titled as Satnam Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-24782-2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide legal notice dated 03.06.2019 by keeping in view the contentions raised in the writ petition and treating the same as a supplementary representation and to pass a speaking order in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of speaking order Annexure R/1, dated 23.09.2020, the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/1, dated

23.09.2020 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

25.05.2022
manju

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No