

In virtual Court

CRM-M-5672-2022

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5672-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.02.2022

Sanjida @ Kasida

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Afzal Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.35 dated 07.02.2021 under Sections 3/13(1)/8/13(3)/17 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, registered at Police Station Punhana, District Mewat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 08.09.2021 passed in CRM-M-21731-2021, vide which co-accused Imran was granted the concession of anticipatory bail, by passing the following order: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged in the FIR, which is registered on the basis of secret information that beef was recovered from the house of the petitioner. He, however,

contends that there is no independent witness to the recovery and without proper chemical examination, it is not possible to hold that the recovered meat was beef or buffalo or any other meat. He has relied upon the judgment of the coordinate Bench of this Court in CRR-3141 of 2014 in support of his submission. The petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

This Court, by the order dated 29.07.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Narinder Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is first offender; she is not involved in any other case and her case is at par with that of co-accused Imran.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and he could not dispute the factual position that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and recovery has already been effected in her absence.

In virtual Court

CRM-M-5672-2022

-3-

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is a lady, whose husband/co-accused Imran has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted the concession of anticipatory bail subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

10.02.2022

vishnu

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No