

CWP No.3706 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3706 of 2022

Date of decision : 25.02.2022

Jai Karan @ Karan Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this writ petition is to the order dated 20.01.2016 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana, whereby the revision petition preferred by the petitioner was dismissed as also the order dated 02.12.2021 (Annexure P-5), vide which the possession notice has been sought to be issued in pursuance to the earlier order which has been passed by the Revisional Authority dismissing the application of the petitioner for declaring him as owner of the land in question.

It would not be out of way to mention here that the petitioner has not challenged the orders passed by the authorities vide which the suit for declaration under Section 13-B of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, stands dismissed i.e. the order of the District

CWP No.3706 of 2022

Collector, Jhajjar, dated 25.04.2013 (Annexure P-2) and the order dated 28.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak. It is, in pursuance thereto, the revision petition was filed, which has also been dismissed in the year 2016, as referred to above, by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana. When the orders, which have been passed by the Competent Authority dismissing the claim of the petitioner with regard to he being owner of the land in question, have attained finality, we do not find any ground for interfering in the orders which have been impugned in this Court especially the order passed by the Financial Commissioner, Haryana, dated 20.01.2016 (Annexure P-4) which has been challenged after a delay of almost five years. Otherwise on merits also, we do not find any justification to interfere in the orders passed by the revenue authorities.

Finding no merit in the present appeal, the same stands dismissed.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

25.02.2022
Harish

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No