

CR No.678 of 2019

Date of Decision:17.08.2022

Bhagwan Dass

...Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Kumari and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPALPresent:- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The plaintiff in a suit for grant of decree of permanent injunction with a prayer to restrain the defendants from alienating, transferring and interfering in his actual and physical possession, is the petitioner herein. His application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been dismissed by the trial Court. He wants to implead Smt. Asha Rani as a defendant on the ground that she is a subsequent vendee. The plaintiff has already concluded his evidence. The plaintiff can always file a fresh suit against Smt. Asha Rani. The trial Court has correctly held that the present suit is at the advanced stage and therefore, the application is not required to be allowed.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**August 17, 2022**

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportableYes/No
Yes/No