

CRWP-1253-2022

Date of Decision: 11.02.2022

Jagmal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)Case heard *via* video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks protection of his life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 13, with respondent no.8 stated to be the son of the petitioner and respondent no.9 shown to be his daughter-in-law (though in the memo of parties respondent no.8 is shown as Joginder Singh son of Jamal Singh, learned counsel submits that it is a typographical error, with the petitioners' name actually being Jagmal Singh).

He submits that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the SP, Rewari, to take a decision on his representation Annexure P-2, dated 24.11.2021.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is disposed of, with a direction to the SP, Rewari, to go into the grievance of the petitioner, with him shown to be 72 years old and with

him stated to a retired Army official, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, with it obviously to be ensured even in the meanwhile that the life and liberty of the petitioner is duly protected.

February 11, 2022

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO