

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 8816 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.08.2022

Rajesh Kumar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mohinder Singh Manku and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. R.S.Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate
for the respondent No.1.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The petitioner herein is a tenant. He was ordered to be evicted on 01.04.2016. An application filed for setting aside the *ex parte* decree was dismissed on 28.10.2017.
2. The learned counsel representing the respondent No.1 has informed this Court that the petitioner has already returned the possession to the respondent No.1.
3. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that despite his best efforts, he has failed to contact the petitioner as he is not attending the telephonic calls and has, also, not responded to the registered letter.
4. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present revision petition. Consequently, the present revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

August 08, 2022
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No