

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 851 of 2019

Date of Decision: 19.12.2022

Mohinder Singh (Since Deceased) through his Legal Representative

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Urmila Devi and Another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. J.K.Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. D.D.Bansal, Advocate
for the respondents.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The correctness of the interlocutory order passed by the trial Court while extending the period for deposit of the *ad valorem* court fee has been challenged.

2. The petitioner herein is the defendant in the suit filed by the plaintiff under Section 6 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the suit filed by the plaintiffs has already been dismissed in default but an application for restoration of the suit is pending.

3. The trial court has exercised its discretion while granting additional time to the plaintiffs for making good the deficiency in payment of the Court fee for the reasons stated in the application. The attention of the Court has not been drawn to any perversity in the said orders. Thus, this

Court does not find it appropriate to interfere in the exercise of its revisional jurisdiction.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned order. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

December 19, 2022

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No