

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-2883-2022

Date of Decision : 24.03.2022

Ramesh Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Brijesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Doon, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the Sugar Mills in question i.e. respondent No.3 has already been passed a Resolution as directed by the State to delegate power to make appointment to the Haryana Public Service Commission but as, there was dissent to the said Resolution by the Managing Director, the Resolution so passed, along with the dissent, has been sent to Sugar Mills, Panchkula to take appropriate decision on the same and therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition at this stage with liberty to present his case before the authorities where the Resolution is pending consideration now.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

24.03.2022

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No