

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.2389 of 2022
Date of Decision: 24.03.2022**

Malkiat Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.R.K.S. Verka, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide legal notice dated 28.08.2021 (P-6) and to regularize the services of the petitioner in terms of instructions dated 18.03.2011 (P-1).

At the outset, learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner submitted that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case the legal notice dated 28.08.2021 (P-6) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and raised no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Financial Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 28.08.2021 (P-6) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of 04 (four) months after the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of present writ petition.

24.03.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>