

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2403-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 09.02.2022

Aditya Jindal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Chandigarh College of Architecture and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Senior Standing Counsel,
with Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 2– U.T., Chandigarh.

(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in
virtual Court)

* * * *

RAVI SHANKER JHA, C.J. (ORAL)

The only prayer made by the petitioner is for a direction to the respondent-authorities to consider and decide his representation dated 02.02.2022 (Annexure P-10) which is pending before them.

Mr. Anil Mehta, learned senior standing counsel, appearing for respondents No. 1 and 2, on advance copy, submits that the petitioners' representation shall be considered and decided in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned senior standing counsel for the respondents No. 1 and 2, we do not find any reason to entertain the present petition which is accordingly disposed of. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and, therefore, the authorities would be at liberty to examine the matter keeping all facts and facets into consideration and thereafter accept or reject the same in accordance with law.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

09.02.2022

Amodh

AMODH SHARMA
2022.02.10 15:56
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No