

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.6068 of 2021

Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Kulwant Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, A.A.G, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. S.K. Jindal, Advocate
for the complainant.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has sought the relief of pre-arrest/ anticipatory bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.362 dated 30.12.2020 registered at Police Station Gobindgarh Mandi, District Fatehgarh Sahib, under Sections 420, 407 and 120-B IPC.

2. It is worth-while to mention here that vide the order dated 25.11.2021, the Co-ordinate Bench had extended the relief of interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner while directing him to join in the investigation. However, as is explicit from the perusal of the order passed on 21.12.2021, learned State Counsel had informed the Court on that day that though the petitioner had joined in the investigation but he did not

co-operate in the same and therefore, the Co-ordinate Bench had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer again and to render all the possible co-operation.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from SI Balwinder Singh from the above-said Police Station, apprises the Court that though the petitioner joined in the investigation on 24.05.2022 but he did not co-operate the investigating agency.

4. Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve the discretionary relief of pre-arrest/anticipatory bail.

5. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

September 12, 2022
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>