

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-6045-2022
Decided on : 11.02.2022

Tarsem Lal & others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

By way of filing instant petition under Section 482 Cr.PC, petitioners have prayed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to take legal action against respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite the police having been approached subsequent to the commission of the alleged crime, the official respondents had been sitting over the matter and had failed to initiate any steps against the accused persons arrayed as respondents No.4 to 9. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the official respondents are directed to take appropriate action on their representation dated 02.11.2021.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the

respondent No.2 i.e. Sr. Superintendent of Police, Faridkot is directed to look into their grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence, as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), then the petitioners shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

11.02.2022
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No