

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**TA-89-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2022**

Jaswinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurvinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This petition is pending since 2019 and on Prayer in this petition is for transfer of the petition filed by the respondent-husband under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***Gurvinder Singh vs. Jaswinder Kaur***, pending before the Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patiala to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Hoshiarpur.

This petition is pending since 2019 and on 30.01.2019, proceedings before the trial Court was stayed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that on account of a matrimonial discord, the petitioner has filed a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. at Hoshiarpur and as a counter-blast to the same, the respondent-husband has filed the present petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act at Patiala in order to harass the petitioner-wife. It is further submitted that the petitioner is facing great difficulty in prosecuting the said case, as there is a distance of about 170 kms between the aforesaid two places.

Learned counsel has relied upon the judgments ***Sumita Singh Vs. Kumar Sanjay, 2002 SC 396*** and ***Rajani Kishor Pardeshi Vs. Kishor Babulal Pardeshi, 2005(12) SCC 237***, wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court observed that “*while deciding the transfer application, the Courts are required to give more weightage and consideration to the convenience of the female litigants and transfer of legal proceedings from one Court to another should ordinarily be allowed, taking into consideration their convenience and the Courts should desist from putting female litigants under undue hardships.*”

Learned counsel for the respondent-husband has opposed the prayer of the petitioner-wife.

It is well settled that while considering the transfer of a matrimonial dispute/case at the instance of the wife, the Court is to consider family condition of the wife, custody of the minor child, economic condition of the wife, her physical health and earning capacity of the husband and most important, convenience of the wife i.e. she cannot travel alone without assistance of a male member of her family, connectivity of the place to and fro from her place of residence as well as bearing of the litigation charges and travelling expenses.

After hearing the counsel for the petitioner, considering the fact that if the aforesaid petition is not transferred, the petitioner-wife will have to bear the litigation expenses and transportation expenses and also in view of the ratio of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sumita Singh's*** case (supra) and ***Rajani Kishor Pardeshi's*** case (supra), this Court deems it appropriate to allow the present petition, with the following directions:-

- (i) *The petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu*

Marriage Act, pending before the Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patiala will be transferred to the competent Court of jurisdiction at Hoshiarpur.

- (ii) The District Judge, Hoshiarpur will assign the said petition to the competent Court of jurisdiction.*
- (iii) The Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patiala is directed to transfer all the record pertaining to the aforesaid case to District Judge, Hoshiarpur.*
- (iv) The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court at Hoshiarpur within a period of 01 month from today.*

22.07.2022
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>