

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CRM-M-7186-2022

Date of Decision: 21.02.2022

Navneet Sethi and ors.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

(Through video conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to take legal action on the complaint dated 30.12.2021.

Notice.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions may be issued to the competent person to decide the complaint (Annexure P-3) within a time bound manner.

Prayer being innocuous, can not be opposed by learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel submits that the competent person in this case would be Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, whereas complaint (Annexure P-3) was not made to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner to make a fresh representation/application to Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, who shall

decide the same within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

The petition is partly allowed to the extent mentioned above.

21.02.2022

Jyoti-II

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>