

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CWP No.2760 of 2020

Date of decision: 11.11.2022

Amrik Singh

....Petitioner

V/s

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Ravi Chadda, Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajneesh Kumar Shelly, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the previous order dated 12.10.2022 has duly been communicated to the petitioner but he is not coming forward to file the affidavit, as directed and also does not wish to implead the postal authority.

The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the respondents submits on instructions that the passport was dispatched to the petitioner on 13.11.2020 and it has not been received back in the office undelivered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has cross checked with the petitioner who affirms that the passport has not been received by him. It is pointed out that the residential address is of the village and the postal authorities would be the only appropriate department to clarify the factual aspect of delivery of passport to the addressee or its return to the sender.

Let the concerned parties file their respective affidavits in that regard.

The petitioner may, if so advised, file an appropriate application for impleading the Postal Authority as a party respondent in the present petition.

Adjourned to 11.11.2022.”

In view of the above position, learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions and submits that the petition be disposed of accordingly.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 11, 2022
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No