

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-5990-2022

Date of Decision: 11.02.2022

Navneet Sethi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana., accepts and waives service on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. This Court proposes that no notices are required to be issued to private respondents No. 5 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing some time, submits that he would be satisfied for the time being, in case, direction is issued to respondent No.2, to decide the complaint (Annexure P-4) in a time bound manner.

The prayer is not opposed. Given above, the present petition is **disposed of** by issuing directions to respondent No.2 to either decide the representation or direct a competent officer (not below the rank of SP) to decide the representation/complaint, by passing a speaking order within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

11.02.2022/anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No