

CRM-M-5378-2022

Date of Decision:08.03.2022

Rakesh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Renu Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard *via* video conference.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR No.128, dated 19.06.2021, having been registered at Police Station Titram, District Kaithal, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 379 of the IPC and Section 136 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

Today again, Ms.Renu Dhull appears for the petitioner and seeks an adjournment.

It having been made abundantly clear on the last date of hearing itself that the matter would not be adjourned for the third time in a row today, that request is declined.

Nevertheless, since the petitioner is seeking to be admitted to anticipatory bail in the context of an offence punishable under Section 136 of the Electricity Act, 2003, and Section 379 of the IPC, notice of motion is issued.

On the asking of the court, Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent State.

Learned State counsel submits that, as per his instructions, the petitioner is involved in 40 other such criminal cases involving the theft and sale of electricity goods belonging to the State Electricity corporations and therefore he may not be admitted to anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, I do not find any ground to entertain this petition which is consequently dismissed.

March 08, 2022

dharamvir

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES
Whether Reportable : NO