

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRWP-1300-2022
Decided on : 11.02.2022

X

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Brijesh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.(Oral)

By way of filing instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Section 482 Cr.PC, petitioner has prayed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite the police having been approached subsequent to the commission of alleged crime wherein the petitioner 'X' was raped by respondents No.4 to 6, the official respondents had been sitting over the matter and had failed to initiate any steps against the accused persons arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if the official respondents are directed to take appropriate action on her representation dated 20.12.2021.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the

respondent No.2 i.e. Sr. Superintendent of Police, Mansa is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 20.12.2021 (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.*** 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

11.02.2022

sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No