

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5375-2022

Date of Decision: 08.09.2022

Narender ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Abhishek Goyal, Advocate, for the complainant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of inadvertence, some material facts could not be mentioned as regards the pendency of some other cases and that although he has moved an application for furnishing complete particulars, but the said application has not been listed and that now he has been informed that there is yet one more case. Learned counsel has, thus, submitted that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file afresh with complete particulars.

In view of the aforesaid submission, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

08.09.2022

Vimal

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**

Whether reportable: **Yes/No**