

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 893 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.09.2022

Narinder Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gurinder Singh Viridi

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Sudhir Paruthi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The petitioner's application under Order IX Rule 13 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (hereinafter referred to as "CPC") to set aside the *ex parte* judgment and decree has been dismissed by both the Courts below.

2. It has been found that the petitioner was represented by Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate. Subsequently, he engaged Mr. Himmat Singh, Advocate, who also did not appear. The judgment and decree passed in favour of the plaintiff is only an injunction against forcible dispossession.

3. Though, the learned counsel representing the petitioner has made a sincere endeavour, however, failed to draw attention of this Court to any perversity or material error in the appreciation of evidence.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground is made out to

interfere. Hence, the present revision petition is dismissed. The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

September 08, 2022

“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No