

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 201

CRM-M-6199-2021

Date of decision : 07.03.2022

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Lakshay Bector, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Sumit Jain, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.10 dated 07.01.2020 registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC and Sections 10 and 25 of the Emigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra.

On 11.02.2021 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case at the behest of co-accused Navjot Singh only to save his skin; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; virtually all amounts received by the petitioner from the complainant were transferred by him to the account of the main accused – Ashish; in fact Ashish and the other actual accused in the case have defrauded the petitioner's cousin as well; the petitioner's cousin has filed proceedings under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 against Ashish qua fraudulent acts of Ashish and that the petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Kuldeep Tewari, Additional A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 04.05.2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.10, dated 07.01.2020, registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC and Sections 10 & 24 of Emigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Before the adjourned date the State shall file a status report.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined the investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In the light of the reasons stated in the afore quoted order as also the statement made on behalf of the State, the order of this Court dated 11.02.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

07.03.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No