

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-5535-2022(O&M)
Date of decision: 15.07.2022

RAHUL PANDEY

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.203 dated 20.08.2020 under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Kotwali, District Patiala.

After arguing the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the instant petition and prays for an indulgence that considering the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner as undertrial for a duration of 01 year and 10 months, he would be satisfied if direction is issued to the trial Court to conclude the prosecution evidence in a time bound manner. It is pointed out that out of 18 witnesses cited by the investigating agency, only 06 have been examined so far.

Learned State counsel undertakes to conclude the prosecution evidence within a period of 04 months from the date next fixed for recording of the evidence.

In view of the statement so made by the learned State counsel, no

further directions are required to be issued.

Instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Needless to mention that the prosecution shall remain bound by the statement so made by the learned State counsel in the Court today.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

July 15, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No