

[131] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2659-2022

Date of Decision : 18.02.2022

Rajan Pacharwal ...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Parshant Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J.

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226 / 227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Certiorari for setting aside / quashing result of the Physical Screening Test (Annexure P-5) dated 28.12.2021 to the extent of rejection of the candidature of the petitioner or in the alternative for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondent No.2 to pass a speaking order on representation (Annexure P-6) dated 30.12.2021 filed by the petitioner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Tapan Kumar, learned DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the alternative prayer of the petitioner and that the representation filed by the petitioner i.e. Annexure P-6 dated 30.12.2021 would be decided by respondent No.2 within 04 weeks from today.

[5] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, in the light of the position noted above, as well

as statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 30.12.2021, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter within 04 weeks from today.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.02.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>