

In virtual Court

CRM-M-5514-2022

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5514-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.02.2022**

Parveen Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Waraich (Rana), Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail in FIR No.32 dated 01.03.2021 under Sections 22/25/29/61/85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 23.12.2021 passed in CRM-M-52196-2021, vide which co-accused Honey Sehgal was granted the concession of regular bail and the order dated 10.02.2022 passed in CRM-M-33309-2021, vide which another co-accused Daksh Arora has been granted the concession of regular bail. The operative part

In virtual Court

CRM-M-5514-2022

-2-

of the order dated 10.02.2022 reads as under: -

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the order dated 23.12.2021 passed in CRM-M-52196-2021, vide which co-accused Honey Sehgal has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court. The operative part of the order reads as under:-

“...Counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of ASI Harpreet Singh, while on a patrol duty, he along with other co-police officials received a secret information that one Sukhpal Singh @ Pali is indulged in the business of selling of intoxicant tablets and he is coming on a motorcycle to Mullanpur side for supplying the same and if a barrier is put up, he can be apprehended with huge quantity of the tablets. On receiving the information, a ruqa was sent to the Police Station for conducting the investigation and thereafter, the police party apprehended him and recovered 15000 intoxicant tablets of TRAMADOL HYDROCHLORIDE. Later on, Sukhpal Singh disclosed the name of one Mandeep Singh @ Manga from whom, he has taken the said tablets and on arrest of Mandeep Singh @ Manga, 40,000 intoxicant tablets were recovered. Again, on the basis of the disclosure statement of Mandeep Singh, the petitioner was nominated in the case and 25,000 tablets

were recovered. It is also submitted that the petitioner is the first offender and he is in custody for the last about 03 months and 27 days; the investigation is complete; challan stands presented, however, charges are yet to be framed and there are 18 PWs and it will take long time in conclusion of the trial.

Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that on an earlier occasion, the petitioner was granted interim bail awaiting the report of the FSL and on receiving the report, in compliance of the order of this Court, the petitioner has surrendered back. It is further submitted that during the said intervening period, when he was on interim bail for a period of about 04 months, he has not misused the concession of bail and has surrendered back on time as per the directions of this Court.

*Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the police has recorded the successive disclosure statements of the accused persons, therefore, it will be matter of trial whether the nomination of the petitioner, on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused, is admissible in the case in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court **“Tofan Singh vs State of Tamil Nadu”, 2013(4) RCR (Criminal) 631.***

Counsel for the State has not disputed the factual position. It is also not disputed that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and he has not misused the concession of bail, however, counsel for the State has submitted that the recovery is of commercial quantity and therefore, he should not be granted the concession of bail...”

As per allegations in the FIR, on receiving a secret information that Sukhpal Singh @ Pali is habitual of selling intoxicant tablets and is coming in the area of Mullanpur to sell the same on motorcycle, he was apprehended and 15000 intoxicant tablets were recovered from him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on the basis of disclosure statement of Sukhpal Singh @ Pali, Mandeep Singh @ Manga was nominated and 40000 tablets of Tramadol Hydrochloride were recovered from him and on his disclosure statement, Honey Sehgal was nominated and recovery of 25000 intoxicant tablets was effected from him. It is further submitted that on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Honey Sehgal, one Vishal Anand was nominated and from him, 100000 intoxicant tablets were recovered and on his disclosure statement, the petitioner was nominated and 5000 intoxicant tablets were recovered from him. It is also submitted that though after

receiving the secret information, the Investigating Officer, when arrested first accused Sukhpal Singh @ Pali, a proper procedure was followed and he was given notice under Section 50 of NDPS Act, however, in the subsequent change, when disclosure statements of other accused were recorded and the police had prior information of involvement of subsequent accused, which were nominated on the basis of successive disclosure statements, while arresting them or while effecting the recovery, no proper procedure under Section 50 of NDPS Act was followed, to ascertain the recovery, despite the fact that prior information was available with the police, therefore, it will be a matter of trial, whether entire procedure adopted in this case subsequent to arrest of first accused Sukhpal Singh @ Pali is correct or not.

Learned State counsel, on the basis of reply by way of affidavit of Inspector Jatinder Pal Singh, SHO, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana (Rural), in which aforesaid fact is not stated, has submitted that apart from Sukhpal Singh @ Pali, who was given notice under Section 50 of NDPS Act, no other accused was given notice under Section 50 of NDPS Act, despite there being prior information.

As per the custody certificate dated 10.02.2022, the petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 27 days and is not involved in any other case.”

In virtual Court

CRM-M-5514-2022

-6-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused Daksh Arora, as the petitioner was also nominated in the FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Vishal Anand.

Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate dated 15.02.2022 in the Court today, according to which, the petitioner is in custody for the last 11 months and 10 days and is not involved in any other case.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits of the case and considering the aforesaid submissions and the fact that aforesaid co-accused of the petitioner have already been released on regular bail, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Petition is disposed of.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

18.02.2022
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No