

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(208)

CR-8568-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-12.12.2022.

Amit Bhatia

.....Petitioner

Versus

Reena Cheema

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Johny, Advocate for
Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the respondent.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 19.12.2016, it was wrongly mentioned as “Rent Controller Jalandhar”, whereas the correct nomenclature should have been “Rent Controller, Chandigarh. On the oral request of learned counsel for the parties, the same be read as “Rent Controller, Chandigarh” for all intents and purposes.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition has been rendered infructuous in light of the fact that the possession of the premises has already been given to the landlord. He further submits that the arrears of rent and the mesne profits have been deposited with the Rent Controller, Chandigarh and he submits that he has no objection if the same are withdrawn by the respondent, in accordance with law.

CR-8568-2016 (O&M)

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the respondent to approach the Rent Controller, Chandigarh for withdrawal of the rent/mesne profits.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

December 12, 2022.

Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No