

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-2809-2022

Date of decision : 14.02.2022.

Gagandeep and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Department of Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Chandigarh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, who are working as Inspectors with the respondents at a salary of ₹50,000-60,000/- have been fastened with a liability of ₹11,37,752/- on account of negligence and violating the instructions dated 10.07.2014, vide order dated 30.09.2021 (Annexure P-1). The petitioners have preferred the statutory appeal (Annexure P-2) thereagainst with respondent No.1 on 21.11.2021 which has not been decided till date. He submits that in a similar petition bearing CWP-26467-2019 titled as **Kulwinder Singh vs. Board of Directors, The Punjab State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation Ltd. and others**, wherein the petitioner was also a Salesman with Markfed and recovery of ₹1,55,29,777/- has been directed against him. In the revision petition which was pending adjudication, the Coordinate Bench had directed the revisional authority to decide the petition within a period of three months.

The Coordinate Bench had also directed that further recovery also be stayed subject to the final order that may be passed by the appellate authority. He also contends that the remedy of appeal has been provided in the Punjab Civil Services Employees (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1970.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of without issuing notice to the respondents with a direction to the appellate authority (respondent No.1) to consider and decide the appeal (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Further recovery from the petitioners shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the appellate authority.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

February 14, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No